

ECFS - Email Filing

<PROCEEDING>96-45

<DATE>02/08/2005

<NAME>Linda Chambers

<ADDRESS1>P.O. Box 986

<ADDRESS2>409 N Clinton

<CITY>Stockbridge

<STATE>

<ZIP>MI

<LAW-FIRM>

<ATTORNEY>

<FILE-NUMBER>

<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC

<PHONE-NUMBER>517 851 4571

<DESCRIPTION>

<CONTACT-EMAIL>ljchambers@dmci.net

<TEXT>I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

I am retired and live on a fixed income. I have a cell phone which I only use for emergencies. I use a telephone card to limit the outrageous long distance fees charged by the regular carriers.

I hope you will help people in my positions by rejecting these proposed fees.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,

Linda Chambers

P.O. Box 986

409 N Clinton

Stockbridge, Michigan 49285